UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,968	11/17/2005	John Edward Schoen	E3331.0662	9100
32172 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 08/12/200 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1633 Broadway		BAIRD, EDWARD J		
NEW YORK, NY 10019			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonsious Summons	10/532,968	SCHOEN ET AL.			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ed Baird	3695			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Ed Baird, Examiner</u> .	(3) <u>Joseph W. Ragusa, Atto</u>	orne <u>y</u> .			
(2) <u>Charles Kyle, SPE</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 05 August 2009.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	p]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 14, and 44</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>May</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney described invention pointing out limitations about notification on credit limits. In particular, Attorney pointed out limitation regarding electronic messaging (claim 14) and fixing benchmarks (claim 44) Examiner will consider these limitations when considering pending RCE. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
/Ed Baird/	/Charles R. Kyle/				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3695